

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/811,361	03/04/1997	MOLLY F. KULESZ-MARTIN	RPP.135F-US	RPP.135F-US 1038	
7:	590 08/12/2003				
MICHAEL L DUNN			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 96			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H		
NEWFANE, N	Y 14108				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	7 =	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action		08/811,361	KULESZ-MARTIN, MOLLY F.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher H Yaen	1642			
The MAIL	ING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]				
b) The period fo no event, how ONLY CHEC 706.07(f). Extensions of time i	or reply expiresmonths from the mailing reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A vever, will the statutory period for reply expire I K THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The edate for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension			
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a (2) as set forth in (b) abo timely filed, may reduce	 a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of tope, if checked. Any reply received by the Office any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C 	the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail (FR 1.704(b)).	originally set in the final Office action; or ing date of the final rejection, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 April 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
	or exhibit will NOT be considered beca Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
	of Appeal, the proposed amendment of how the new or amended claims wo					
The status of	the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11</u> .						
Claim(s) with	drawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed	d drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappı	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attac	ched Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:						
			Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments presented to refute the lack of utility rejection is substantially the same or similar to those already argued in the final office action mailed 12/31/02. The specification has not provided a real world utility for the claimed invention.

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600